

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Project Description.....	ES-1
ES.2 Project Objectives	ES-2
ES.3 Draft EIR.....	ES-3
ES.4 Impact Summary.....	ES-3
ES.5 Alternatives to the Proposed LRDP	ES-3
ES.6 Known Areas of Controversy	ES-6
Section 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose of the EIR	1-1
1.2 Overview of the UC Merced LRDP	1-2
1.3 Project Background.....	1-2
1.4 EIR Review Process.....	1-5
1.5 Uses of the LRDP EIR	1-6
1.6 Levels of Significance.....	1-9
1.7 Organization of the EIR	1-9
Section 2 Project Description	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Project Location	2-1
2.3 Project Objectives	2-2
2.4 Academic Program.....	2-6
2.5 Campus Population	2-11
2.6 LRDP Elements	2-11
2.6.1 Main Campus	2-12
2.6.2 Campus Land Reserve	2-18
2.6.3 Campus Natural Reserve.....	2-18
2.7 Public Services.....	2-19
2.7.1 Fire Protection.....	2-19
2.7.2 Police Services	2-19
2.7.3 Child Care Facilities	2-19
2.8 Utilities.....	2-19
2.8.1 Potable Water/Fire Water	2-20
2.8.2 Irrigation Water	2-20
2.8.3 Storm Water	2-21
2.8.4 Wastewater.....	2-21
2.8.5 Solid Waste	2-22
2.8.6 Hazardous Waste	2-23
2.8.7 Telecommunications	2-23
2.8.8 Electricity	2-23
2.8.9 Natural Gas	2-24
2.8.10 Heating and Cooling Systems.....	2-25
2.8.11 Utility Tunnel.....	2-25
2.8.12 Physical Plant Support Facilities	2-25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.5	Cultural Resources	4.5-1
4.5.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.5-2
4.5.2	Environmental Setting	4.5-3
4.5.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.5-12
4.6	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Mineral Resources	4.6-1
4.6.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.6-1
4.6.2	Environmental Setting	4.6-2
4.6.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.6-10
4.7	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.7-1
4.7.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.7-1
4.7.2	Environmental Setting	4.7-3
4.7.3	Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.7-9
4.8	Hydrology and Water Quality	4.8-1
4.8.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.8-1
4.8.2	Environmental Setting	4.8-4
4.8.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.8-18
4.8.4	Compliance with the Basin Plan	4.8-36
4.9	Land Use and Planning	4.9-1
4.9.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.9-1
4.9.2	Environmental Setting	4.9-3
4.9.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.9-8
4.10	Noise	4.10-1
4.10.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.10-1
4.10.2	Environmental Setting	4.10-3
4.10.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.10-8
4.11	Population, Employment, and Housing	4.11-1
4.11.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.11-1
4.11.2	Environmental Setting	4.11-2
4.11.3	Existing and Projected Housing in the County	4.11-2
4.11.4	Impacts and Mitigation	4.11-3
4.12	Public Services	4.12-1
4.12.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.12-1
4.12.2	Environmental Setting	4.12-3
4.12.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.12-7
4.13	Recreation	4.13-1
4.13.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.13-1
4.13.2	Environmental Setting	4.13-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.13.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.13-5
4.14	Traffic, Circulation, and Parking	4.14-1
4.14.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation	4.14-1
4.14.2	Environmental Setting	4.14-6
4.14.3	Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.14-21
4.15	Utilities	4.15-1
4.15.1	Summary of Site Selection EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4.15-1
4.15.2	Environmental Setting	4.15-4
4.15.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.15-7
Section 5	Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	5-1
5.1	On-Site Alternatives.....	5-1
5.1.1	Alternative Main Campus Sizes.....	5-2
5.1.2	Alternative Locations on the Virginia Smith Trust Site	5-24
5.2	Off-Site Alternatives.....	5-28
5.2.1	Alternative Sites in Merced County.....	5-29
5.2.2	Sites Previously Evaluated in the Site Selection EIR	5-47
5.3	No-Project Alternative	5-53
5.4	Environmentally Superior Alternative	5-54
Section 6	Growth Inducing Impacts.....	6-1
6.1	Near-Term Growth Impacts	6-1
6.1.1	Campus-Related Direct Jobs.....	6-1
6.1.2	Campus-Related Indirect and Induced Jobs.....	6-1
6.1.3	Near-Term Population Growth	6-2
6.1.4	Geographical Pattern of Near-Term Growth	6-3
6.2	Far-Term Growth Impacts	6-3
6.2.1	Campus-Related Direct Jobs.....	6-3
6.2.2	Campus-Related Indirect and Induced Jobs.....	6-3
6.2.3	Other Campus-Related Induced Jobs.....	6-4
6.2.4	Far-Term Population Growth.....	6-4
6.2.5	Geographic Pattern of Far-Term Growth.....	6-5
6.2.6	Environmental Effects From Growth in Businesses/Urban Services	6-6
6.3	Growth Triggered by the Provision of Infrastructure	6-7
6.4	Impacts and Mitigation	6-7
6.4.1	Standards of Significance	6-7
6.4.2	Project Impacts and Mitigation.....	6-7
Section 7	Other CEQA Considerations.....	7-1
7.1	Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts	7-1
7.2	Significant Irreversible Changes.....	7-2
7.2.1	Irretrievable Commitment of Construction Materials.....	7-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.6-2	Soils Map
4.6-3	Principal Quaternary Faults and Historical Seismicity ($M \geq 2.0$), 1866-2001, Within a 100 KM Radius of Merced, California
4.6-4	Comparison Between UBC Design Spectra and 1996 USGS Site-Specific Ground Motions
4.8-1	Regional Hydrology
4.9-1	County Land Use Designations
4.10-1	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels
4.10-2	Noise Measurement Locations
4.14-1	Project Location
4.14-2	Study Intersections
4.14-3	Existing Conditions -Peak Hour Intersection Volumes
4.14-4	Planned Improvements
4.14-5	2008 No Project - Peak Hour Intersection Volumes
4.14-6	2008 With Project - Peak Hour Intersection Volumes
4.14-7	Cumulative Impact Locations - Future Plus Campus Buildout
4.14-8	Mitigation Locations -Future Plus Campus Buildout
4.14-9	Cumulative Impact Locations - Future Plus Campus and Community Buildout
4.14-10	Mitigation Locations -Future Plus Campus and Community Buildout
5-1	Regional Locations of All Alternatives
5-2	Prototypical Smaller Campus/Decreased Population and Smaller Campus / Increased Density Alternatives
5-2a	Wetlands Impacted by the Proposed Smaller and Larger Main Campus Alternatives
5-3	Prototypical Smaller Campus / Fewer Onsite Programs Alternative
5-4	Prototypical Larger Campus / Increased Population Alternative
5-5	Prototypical Larger Campus / More Onsite Programs Alternative
5-6	Prototypical Larger Campus / Onsite Avoidance Alternative
5-7	Refined Concept Plan Alternative
5-8	Two Village Alternative
5-9	East Shore Lakefront Alternative
5-10	Relocated Campus Alternative
5-11	Campus Designer Preferred Southwest Alternative
5-12	North Merced/Bellevue Ranch Alternative "A"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5-13	Castle Airport Alternative (458-acre configuration)
5-14	Castle Airport Alternative (910-acre configuration)
5-15	South Merced City Infill Alternative
5-16	North Merced Rangeland Alternative
5-17	Southern Highway 99 Alternative
5-18	Highway 140 Alternative
5-19	East Livingston Alternative
5-20	Delhi Area Alternative
5-21	Table Mountain Alternative
5-22	Academy Alternative
5-23	North Merced/Bellevue Ranch Alternative "B"
5-24	Downtown Fresno Alternative
5-25	Metropolitan Fresno/Herndon Alternative

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures in the Draft EIR
ES-2	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Phase 1 Campus Development
ES-3	Comparison of On-Site Alternatives to the Proposed Project
ES-4	Comparison of Off-Site Alternatives to the Proposed Project
2-1	UC Merced Projections of Students, Faculty, and Staff
2-2	Major Land Uses at UC Merced
2-3	Housing Distribution
2-4	Utility Demands of the Proposed Campus
3-1	2000 Existing Population in Merced County
3-2	Current Merced County Population Projections
3-3	University of California, Merced Campus Projections of Students, Faculty, Staff FTE (Selected Years)
3-4	On-Campus Population Estimates (Selected Years)
3-5	Distribution of Campus Population
3-6	Merced County Employment Projections: 2000 to 2025
4.3-1	State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards
4.3-2	Average Monthly Temperature and Precipitation Data (1961-1990)
4.3-3	Health Effects Summary of Air Pollutants of Regulatory Concern

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 4.3-4 Air Pollutant Data Summary (1997-1999)
- 4.3-5 Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty Construction Equipment (lb/hr)
- 4.3-6 Estimated Air Pollutant Emissions from the Proposed 18.3-MW Generation Facility
- 4.3-7 Estimated Air Pollutant Emissions from Assumed Central Plant Boiler Load
- 4.3-8 Total Operational Emissions (tons per year)
- 4.3-9 Cumulative Emissions (tons per year)
- 4.4-1 Approximate Acreage of Habitat Types on the Campus site
- 4.4-2 Special Status Species Potentially Occurring in the Project Vicinity
- 4.4-3 Distribution of Habitat Types at the Campus Site and on the VST/CST Property (in acres)
- 4.4-4 Summary of Wetland Resource Mitigation Program
- 4.4-5 Occurrences of Special Status Species at the Campus Site Relative to the VST/CST and Eastern Merced Region
- 4.6-1 Geologic Formations and Associated Soil Series
- 4.6-2 Median (50th Percentile) Peak Horizontal Accelerations in G's on Rock
- 4.7-1 Annual Hazardous Waste Generation for Calendar Year 2000 (UC Riverside as example)
- 4.7-2 Chemical Waste Disposal Methods
- 4.8-1 Pre- and Post-Development Storm Water Runoff Volumes
- 4.10-1 Long-Term-Noise Measurement Data Summary
- 4.10-2 Short-Term-Noise Measurement Data Summary
- 4.10-3 Modeled Noise Levels (dBA L_{dn}): Year 2008 with Phase 1 Campus
- 4.10-4 Modeled Noise Levels (dBA L_{dn}): Year 2025 Full LRDP Development
- 4.10-5 Modeled Noise Levels (dBA L_{dn}): Year 2025 Cumulative Scenarios
- 4.11-1 2000 Housing Estimates in Merced County
- 4.12-1 K-12 Student Generation
- 4.14-1 Existing Levels of Service at Study Intersections
- 4.14-2 Roadway Level of Service Thresholds
- 4.14-3 Facility Type and Number of Lanes, Existing Conditions and Future (Year 2025) Conditions
- 4.14-4 Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions
- 4.14-5 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions
- 4.14-6 Average Weekday Trip Generation, UC Merced Campus Phase 1 Campus (2008)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 4.14-7 Average Weekday Trip Generation, UC Merced and University Community Plan Full Development
- 4.14-8 Year 2008 Levels of Service at Study Intersections
- 4.14-9 Daily Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service, Existing Conditions and Existing plus Full LRDP Development
- 4.14-10 Daily Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service, Future Without Project and Future plus Full LRDP Development
- 4.14-11 Daily Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service, Future Without Project and Future plus Full Campus and University Community Development
- 4.14-12 Mitigated Levels of Service, Future plus Full Campus and University Community Development
- 4.14-13 Comparison of RTP Funding Categories for Major Improvement Projects Included in EIR Analysis
- 4.15-1 Summary of Campus Indoor Water Demand
- 4.15-2 Summary of Campus Outdoor Water Demand
- 4.15-3 Summary of Potential Recycled Water Demand for the Campus
- 4.15-4 Summary of Campus Wastewater Flows
- 4.15-5 Solid Waste Generation at Full Campus Development
- 4.15-6 Landfill Capacity
- 5-1 Vehicle-Related Emissions: Reduced-Population Alternative
- 5-2 Utility and Public Services Impacts: Reduced-Population Alternative
- 5-3 Vehicle-Related Emissions: Increased-Population Alternative
- 5-4 Utility Impacts: Increased-Population Alternative
- 5-5 Noise Levels at Selected Distances from Highway 99, Merced County
- 6-1 Estimated Population Changes in the Near Term
- 6-2 Population Changes at Full Campus Development